## APPENDIX.

Instructions regulating the submission of thesis for the Master's Degree Examination in Arts and Science and the publication thereof:

### A. Submission of Thesis.

1. The thesis shall reach the Registrar in a sealed cover on or before Saturday the 20th June 1936, and shall be submitted in duplicate written in hand, in ink, typed or printed.
2. It shall embody the results of research or investigation carried out by the candidate.
3. It shall be accompanied by a statement indicating the sources from which the candidate has derived information or guidance for the work and the extent to which he has availed himself of the work of others and the portions of the thesis which he claims as original.
4. It shall be accompanied by a declaration to the effect that the thesis is not substantially the same as any that has already been submitted for a degree in this or any other University.
5. It shall be accompanied by the report of the Professor of the University under whom the work of the candidate was conducted.

### B. Publication.

1. The thesis shall be considered the property of the University.
2. The University shall have the right to publish any thesis in such manner as it deems fit.
3. Permission of the University Council shall be obtained in each case for publishing it.
4. The University may suggest any modification in regard to the thesis which should be effected before permission is granted for publishing it.
5. In publishing a thesis, it shall be mentioned that the thesis was offered for the Master's Degree of this University.

---

*Notification No.* 13482, *dated 26th December* 1935.

Rule 60 of the Rules of Business of the Senate (page 98 of the Calendar for 1934-35, Volume I) is amended as under :—

*Delete* the words 'or a member of the University Council may answer it' in lines 9 and 10 and *substitute* the following:

> or at his request a member of the University Council or the Registrar or the head of an institution or a Professor of the University may answer it.

*The rule as amended will read as follows :—*

> It shall not be necessary to read the questions and answers at the meeting of the Senate. But at the meeting the questions answered shall be called in the serial order in which they appear in the agenda paper, when any member may, before the next question is called, put any supplementary question arising directly out of the answer given Thereupon the Vice-Chancellor may disallow the supplementary question under Rule 55 or decline to answer the same without notice, or the Vice-Chancellor or at his request a member of the University Council or the Registrar or the head of an institution or a Professor of the University may answer it.

By Order,
V. GOPALASWAMI AIENGAR,
*Registrar.*

## DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

### OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, MYSORE.

*Notification No. Cl. D.* 3-1—35-36, *dated* 16*th December* 1935.

Subject to the usual conditions of satisfactory progress, good conduct and regular attendance at the School, the following candidates have been awarded D. C. Scholarships at the rates noted against them for a period of ten months from 1st June 1935 or from the

date of their joining the school, whichever is later, up to the end of March 1936. The scholarships may be drawn and disbursed to the candidates after obtaining due acknowledgments

| No. | Name | Class | School | Rate |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Year | | Rs. a. p. |
| 1 | M Ranganathaiya ... | III | Ittigegud Middle School, Mysore | 4 0 0 |
| 2 | B Rangadasaiah ... | III | Hardwicke Middle School, Mysore. | 4 0 0 |
| 3 | Masti ... | I | Government Middle School, Santhebachahalli. | 2 8 0 |
| 4 | M Hindiah ... | I | Do Hanuvadi | 2 8 0 |
| 5 | Marisiddiah ... | I | Do Sosale | 2 8 0 |
| 6 | K Kahah .. | I | Do Saligrama | 2 8 0 |
| 7 | K Basaviah ... | I | Do Hunsur | 2 8 0 |

MD. DAVUD,
*District Educational Officer.*

## OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, KADUR DISTRICT.

*Notification No. D. 497—35-36, dated 24th December 1935.*

For unsatisfactory progress, the scholarships are reduced to ¾ of their value from November 1935. They should be warned to show better progress.

| No. | Name of pupil | School | Class | Rate of Scholarship from November 1935 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Rs. a. p. |
| 1 | D. Veeraiya ... | Middle School, Chikmagalur | *I | 1 14 0 |
| 2 | T. Kariyappa ... | Do Tarikere ... | I | 1 14 0 |
| 3 | M. Dhanunja Naik ... | Do Sakrepatna | II | 3 0 0 |

M. S. CHENNAKESAVAIYA,
*District Educational Officer.*

## OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

*No. T. E. C.,—35-36, dated 14th December 1935.*

The following letter No. C. 684 D.—35, dated 21st November 1935 along with a notification dated 24th June 1935, received from the Secretary to the Commissioner for Government Examinations, Madras, prescribing certain rules for the benefit of the candidates appearing from the Mysore State for the Government Technical Examinations, Madras, is published for general information.

D. SESHAGIRI RAO,
For *Director.*

---

I have the honour to inform you that the rules relating to the admission of candidates for the Government Technical Examinations are embodied in the notification regulating the Government Technical Examinations and the conditions of admission are published in the "Fort St. George Gazette" from time to time. One of the rules is that the candidates who do not possess the prescribed general educational qualification should obtain the previous permission of the Commissioner for Government Examinations, Madras.

It has been found by experience that the candidates who appear for the Government Technical Examinations in subjects other than "Commerce" do not get acquainted with the rules and conditions of admission published in the Gazette, from time to time. Candidates who do not satisfy the conditions of admission remit the examination fees and apply for the examination without obtaining the previous permission of the Commissioner for Government Examinations, Madras. In order to avoid the hardship to candidates consequent on the rejection of such applications, the Commissioner for Government Examinations, Madras, is indirectly forced to relax the rules of admission. The Commissioner for Government Examinations, Madras, has therefore, now decided to enforce the rules strictly from next year and I am desired by the Commissioner for Government Examinations, Madras, to request you to be so good as to bring this to the notice of all heads of schools sending up candidates for the Government Technical Examinations in subjects other than "Commerce". A copy of the rules of admission is enclosed marking the portion. Ordinarily the candidates who do not